

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
Shon Morgan (Bar No. 187736)
shonmorgan@quinnemanuel.com
865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90017-2543
Telephone: (213) 443-3000
Facsimile: (213) 443-3100
Christine W. Chen (Bar No. 327581)
christinechen@quinnemanuel.com
50 California Street, 22nd Floor
San Francisco, California 94111-4788
Telephone: (415) 875-6600
Facsimile: (415) 875-6700
Cristina Henriquez (Bar No. 317445)
cristinahenriquez@quinnemanuel.com
555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor
Redwood Shores, California 94065
Telephone: (650) 801-5000
Facsimile: (650) 801 5100

Attorneys for Defendants

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

In re: Hyundai and Kia Engine Litigation II

CASE No. 8:18-cv-02223-JLS-JDE

**DECLARATION OF SHON
MORGAN IN SUPPORT OF
PLAINTIFFS' APPLICATION FOR
LEAVE TO FILE UNDER SEAL**

Date: September 8, 2023
Time: 10:30 a.m.
Judge: Hon. Josephine L. Staton
Courtroom: 8A

1 1. I am a member of the bar of the State of California and a partner with
2 Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP, attorneys for defendants Hyundai Motor
3 America, Inc., Hyundai Motor Company, Kia America, Inc., and Kia Corporation. I
4 make this declaration of personal, firsthand knowledge, and if called and sworn as a
5 witness, I could and would testify competently thereto.

6 2. I make this declaration in support of plaintiffs' Application for Leave to
7 File Under Seal (Dkt. 110).

8 3. Plaintiffs' Application relates to eleven documents that support their
9 Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement:

- 10 • Declaration of Adam R. Gonnelli;
- 11 • Expert Report of Susan K. Thompson (Gonnelli Decl. Ex. 1);
- 12 • Hyundai Class Vehicle sales data (Gonnelli Decl. Ex. 2);
- 13 • Kia Class Vehicle sales data (Gonnelli Decl. Ex. 3);
- 14 • Hyundai Class Vehicle warranty data (Gonnelli Decl. Ex. 4);
- 15 • Kia Class Vehicle warranty data (Gonnelli Decl. Ex. 5);
- 16 • Hyundai's August 19, 2022 confidential Responses to Plaintiffs' First
17 Set of Interrogatories (Gonnelli Decl. Ex. 6);
- 18 • Kia's August 19, 2022 confidential Responses to Plaintiffs' First Set of
19 Interrogatories (Gonnelli Decl. Ex. 7);
- 20 • Declaration of Jake Lebowitz (Gonnelli Decl. Ex. 8);
- 21 • Declaration of Elizabeth Fernandez Regarding Knock Sensor Detection
22 Software (KSDS) Installation Rates (Gonnelli Decl. Ex. 10); and
- 23 • Declaration of Alex Lee Regarding KSDS Installation Rates (Gonnelli
24 Decl. Ex. 11).

25 4. These documents refer to confidential material that is proprietary to
26 defendants and that has been designated as confidential in this litigation.

27 5. For the reasons described below, good cause exists to seal these
28 materials. In the Ninth Circuit, the common law right of access to judicial

1 proceedings “is not absolute and can be overridden” when the parties provide
 2 sufficiently compelling reasons to restrict access. *Foltz v. State Farm Mut. Auto.*
 3 *Ins. Co.*, 331 F.3d 1122, 1135 (9th Cir. 2003). Courts regularly hold that there are
 4 “[c]ompelling reasons sufficient to outweigh the public’s interest in disclosure and
 5 justify sealing” when court records contain trade secrets which, if disclosed, could
 6 “become a vehicle for improper purposes,” such as harming a party’s competitive
 7 standing. *Kamakana v. City & Cty. of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1179 (9th Cir.
 8 2006) (citing *Nixon v. Warner Commc’ns, Inc.*, 435 U.S. 589, 598 (1978)); *Ctr. for*
 9 *Auto Safety v. Chrysler Grp., LLC*, 809 F.3d 1092, 1097 (9th Cir. 2016)
 10 (“compelling reasons” for preventing disclosure include the potential disclosure of
 11 “business information that might harm a litigant’s competitive standing”). In such
 12 circumstances, district courts have “broad latitude to grant protective orders to
 13 prevent disclosure of materials for many types of information, including, but not
 14 limited to, trade secrets or other confidential research, development, or commercial
 15 information.” *Phillips ex rel. Estates of Byrd v. Gen. Motors Corp.*, 307 F.3d 1206,
 16 1211 (9th Cir. 2002).

17 6. The eleven relevant documents should be sealed because they reference
 18 Hyundai and Kia’s confidential, non-public, commercial, business, and
 19 competitively-sensitive information, such as sales data, warranty projections, costs,
 20 and expenditures, financial information, pricing information, and service campaign
 21 completion rates (KSDS installation rates). Sealing such information is justified
 22 because disclosure would result in competitive harm. *See L.A. Int’l Corp. v.*
 23 *Prestige Brands Holdings, Inc.*, No. CV186809MWFMWRWX, 2018 WL 6985313, at
 24 *1 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 6, 2018) (citing *Nixon v. Warner Commc’ns, Inc.*, 435 U.S. 589,
 25 598, 98 S.Ct. 1306, 55 L.Ed.2d 570 (1978)) (stating that “sources of business
 26 information that might harm a litigant’s competitive standing” properly may be
 27 sealed); *In re ConAgra Foods, Inc.*, No. CV1105379MMAGRX, 2014 WL
 28 12577132, at *5 (C.D. Cal. July 11, 2014) (granting request to seal portions of

1 declaration that refer to non-public and competitively sensitive pricing
 2 information). “Courts have found it appropriate to redact ‘private financial
 3 information of competitive value.’” *Cox v. Roadrunner Intermodal Servs., LLC*,
 4 No. 117CV01056DADBM, 2019 WL 3202922 (E.D. Cal. July 16, 2019) (quoting
 5 *In re Hydroxycut Mktg. & Sales Practices Litig.*, No. 09MD2087 BTM AJB, 2011
 6 WL 3759632, at *2 (S.D. Cal. Aug. 25, 2011) (granting request to seal detailed
 7 pricing information of a retailer under a “compelling reasons” standard)).

8 7. In the Declaration of Adam R. Gonnelli, Paragraph 6 contains
 9 information regarding not only Hyundai and Kia’s Class Vehicle population, but
 10 also the number of Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles that are scrapped or salvaged.
 11 Paragraphs 7 and 8 contain the number of Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles that have
 12 been in service for over 150,000 miles. Paragraph 11 contains information
 13 regarding the value of Hyundai and Kia’s Powertrain Warranty and Paragraphs 16
 14 and 17 contain the projected percentage of Hyundai Class Vehicles that had the free
 15 inspection associated with Recalls 20V-746 and 21V-727. Paragraph 18 contains
 16 Kia’s internal estimate of the average number of hours of labor spent on free
 17 inspections. Paragraphs 19 to 22 contain information regarding Hyundai and Kia’s
 18 KSDS installation rates and Paragraphs 23 and 24 contain the amount Hyundai and
 19 Kia reimburse its dealers for installing KSDS. Finally, Paragraphs 25 and 26
 20 contain information regarding the national hourly labor rates for Hyundai and Kia
 21 dealers. These are Hyundai and Kia’s business information and sensitive pricing
 22 information that could result in competitive harm to Hyundai and Kia if disclosed.

23 8. The portions redacted on pages 12-19 of the Expert Report of Susan K.
 24 Thompson and the accompanying schedules are information redacted in the
 25 Gonnelli Declaration above or are estimations and analysis by the expert based on
 26 these values. The redacted portions also contain sensitive pricing information
 27 regarding Hyundai and Kia’s short block assembly, Hyundai and Kia’s recall
 28 response rates, and the value of KSDS installation. This information is Hyundai and

1 Kia's business information and sensitive pricing information that could result in
2 competitive harm to Hyundai and Kia if disclosed.

3 9. Hyundai and Kia's Class Vehicle sales data in Gonnelli Declaration
4 Exhibits 2 and 3 are also redacted. Such information should remain under seal
5 because disclosure of this confidential commercial information would provide
6 valuable insight into Hyundai and Kia's sales data and would result in competitive
7 harm.

8 10. Information relating to Hyundai and Kia's warranty redacted in
9 Gonnelli Declaration Exhibits 4 and 5 is confidential, non-public, and competitively
10 sensitive. Such information should remain under seal because disclosure of this
11 confidential commercial information would provide competitors valuable insight
12 into Hyundai and Kia's internal pricing practices for its warranty packages and
13 would result in competitive harm.

14 11. Hyundai and Kia's August 19, 2022 confidential Responses to
15 Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatories contain Hyundai and Kia's sensitive financial
16 and pricing information, vehicle information including a vehicle's "anticipated
17 useful life", and labor information that is confidential, non-public, and competitively
18 sensitive. Such information should remain under seal because disclosure would
19 result in competitive harm.

20 12. The redacted portions of the Declaration of Jake Lebowitz contain
21 information regarding how Kia values its warranties. Such information should
22 remain under seal because disclosure of this confidential commercial information
23 would provide competitors valuable insight into Kia's internal pricing practices for
24 its warranty packages and would result in competitive harm.

25 13. The redacted portions of the Declarations of Elizabeth Fernandez and
26 Alex Lee contain information regarding scrapped or salvaged Hyundai and Kia
27 vehicles, KSDS installation rates, and how those rates were calculated. This is
28

1 confidential, non-public, and competitively sensitive information that should remain
2 under seal because disclosure would result in competitive harm.

3 14. Hyundai and Kia operate in a competitive environment. Hyundai and
4 Kia would not generally share the redacted information publicly. Public disclosure
5 of the information above would allow Hyundai and Kia's competitors access to
6 Hyundai and Kia's strategic business decisions, which could harm Hyundai and
7 Kia's competitive standing.

8 15. Plaintiffs' proposed sealing requests are narrowly tailored as to
9 maximize the public's access to court proceedings without jeopardizing Hyundai
10 and Kia's business interests. A less restrictive alternative than sealing the
11 information above would not be sufficient because the information sought to be
12 sealed is Hyundai and Kia's proprietary and confidential business information but
13 has been utilized by plaintiffs in support of plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of
14 Class Action Settlement.

15 16. Plaintiffs do not oppose defendants' request to keep the redacted
16 information above under seal.

17

18 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of
19 America that the foregoing is true and correct.

20

21 DATED: July 13, 2023

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
22 SULLIVAN, LLP

23

24

By /s/ Shon Morgan

25

Shon Morgan

26

Attorneys for Kia America, Inc., Kia

27

Corporation, Hyundai Motor America,

28

Inc., and Hyundai Motor Company, Ltd.